

# **EXAMINATIONS COUNCIL OF SWAZILAND**

# **CONFIDENTIAL November 2018**

# SWAZILAND PRIMARY CERTIFICATE ENGLISH LANGUAGE PAPER 2 MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK 50

#### PART 1: LISTENING COMPREHENSION

# TOTAL MARKS [ 15 ]

1.	Is a Body Balm.	[1]
2.	It makes the skin feel silky and smooth after using it.	[1]
3.	Shelela Rose Gerenium and Rose Gerenium.	[1]
4.	They are: (i) natural (ii) found locally	[1] [1]
5.	Blend of Marula oil / Beeswax / Rose Gerenium / lemon grass.	[2]
6.	They use no artificial colour and fragnances.	[2]
7.	These women earn a living from selling this product to care for their families.	[2]
8.	Swazi Candles.	[1]
9.	30% of the price.	[2]
10.	Buying in bulks.	[2]

#### PART 2 : READING COMPREHENSION

### TOTAL MARKS [ 20 ]

# Important Note

Half marks should not be awarded as these make the full mark of one (1) when rounded up.

1.	6000 years ago.	[1]	
2.	Had no rings and no gloves (The two responses are needed to score a mark.		
3.	Leather strips		
4.	Simply a marked circle (that defined the field of action).	[1]	
5.	(a) Win points the opponents	[1]	
	(b) Four three minute rounds	[1]	
6.	1,600 years		
7.	To protect the boxers		
8.	A	[1]	
9.	В	[1]	
10.	Early boxing had no set of rules.	[2]	
11.	By landing blows on the opponent's target area.	[2]	
12.	When a boxer is knocked out / can no longer continue.		
13.	Are allowed three – two minute rounds.		

14.	-Suc	h as agility self defence a a living		[2]
15.		ng n the Sport of Boxing began. ng as a sport		[2]
		LANGUAGE USAGE		
16.	(a)	long ago / in the past		[1]
	(b)	nowadays		[1]
	(c)	made		[1]
	(d)	sight		[1]
	(e)	permitted		[1]
			TOTAL MARKS	[5]

#### PART 3 : DIALOGUE

-Dialogue must flow and be coherent.

TOTAL MARKS [ 10 ]

#### PART 3 : DIALOGUE

#### GENERAL CRITERIA FOR MARKING DIALOGUE TOTAL MARKS [10]

Mark Band	CONTENT: relevance and development of ideas	Mark Band	LANGUAGE: style and accuracy
3-4	Highly effective:  * Relevance: Fulfils the task, with consistently appropriate register and excellent sense of purpose and audience.  * Development of ideas: shows independence of thought. Ideas are well developed at appropriate length and persuasive. Quality is sustained throughout. Enjoyable to read. The interest of the reader is aroused and sustained.  Affective:  * Relevance: Fulfils the task, with appropriate register and good sense of purpose and audience.	3 - 4	Fluent:  * Style: Almost first language competence. Ease of style. Confidence and wide ranging use of language, idioms and tenses.  * Accuracy: no or very few errors. Well-constructed sentences.  Precise:  * Style: Sentences show variety of structure and length. Uses some idioms and precise in use of vocabulary.
	* Development of ideas: Ideas are well developed and at appropriate length. Engages reader's interest.		However, there may be some awkwardness in style making reading less enjoyable.  * Accuracy: Generally accurate, apart from occasional frustrating minor errors.
2-3	* Relevance: Fulfils the task, with reasonable attempt at appropriate register, and some sense of purpose and audience. A satisfactory attempt has been made to address the topic, but there may be disgression.  * Development of ideas: Material is satisfactorily developed at appropriate length.	2-3	* Style: mainly simple structures and vocabulary, sometimes attempting more sophisticated language.  * Accuracy: meaning is clear, and work is of a safe, literate standard. Simple structures are generally sound, apart from infrequent spelling errors, which do not interfere with communication. Grammatical errors occur when more sophistication is attempted.
1-2	Partly relevant:  * Relevance: Partly relevant and some engagement with the task. Does not quite fulfil the task, although there are some positive qualities. Inappropriate register, showing insufficient awareness of purpose /or audience.  * Development of ideas: Supplies some details and explanation, but the effect is incomplete.	1-2	Errors intrude:  * Style: Simple structures and vocabulary.  * Accuracy: meaning is sometimes in doubt. Frequent distracting errors hamper precision and slow down reading. However, these do not seriously impair communicating.
0-1	<ul> <li>Little relevance:</li> <li>Limited engagement with task, but this is mostly hidden by density of errors. Award 1 mark.</li> <li>No engagement with the task or any engagement with task is completely hidden by density of errors. Award 0 mark. If task is completely irrelevant, no mark can be given for language.</li> </ul>	0-1	<ul> <li>Hard to understand:         <ul> <li>Multiple types of errors in grammar / spelling / word usage / punctuation throughout, which mostly make it difficult to understand. Occasionally, sense can be deciphered. Award 1 mark.</li> <li>Density of error completely obscures meaning. Whole sections impossible to recognise as pieces of English writing. Award 0 mark.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

[Total Marks: 10]